# **Class 6: Quantifiers and More**

### Schedule

Everyone should have received their graded PS1 by now. Please read the comments posted in collab. **Problem Set 2** is due **Friday at 6:29pm**. You should finish reading MCS Chapter 3 by Tuesday (12 September).

## **Programs and Proofs**

What does it mean to *test* a computing system? What does it mean for a computing system to *always behave correctly*?

Can a mathematical proof guarantee a real computing system will behave correctly?

## Minima

The *minimum* of a set with respect to some comparator operator is the element which is "less than" (according to that comparator) every other element:  $m \in S$  is the *minimum* of S if and only if  $\forall x \in S - \{m\}.m \prec x$ .

$$\forall S \in \mathbf{pow}(\mathbb{N}) - \{\emptyset\}. \exists m \in S. \forall x \in S - \{m\}. m < x$$

## Formulas, Propositions, and Inference Rules

 $P \implies Q$  is a formula.  $\forall P \in \{T, F\}. \forall Q \in \{T, F\}. P \implies Q$  is a proposition.  $\frac{P}{Q}$  is an inference rule.

A formula is satisfiable if there is some way to make it true.

 $P \implies Q$  is satisfiable:

 $\exists P \in \{T, F\}. \exists Q \in \{T, F\}. P \implies Q$ 

We can show a formula is satisfiable by giving *one* choice for the variable assignments that makes it true. For example, P = T, Q = T.

A formula is valid if there is no way to make it false.

$$P \implies Q$$
 is *not* valid:

$$\forall P \in \{T, F\}. \forall Q \in \{T, F\}. P \implies Q$$

This proposition is false, we can chose P = T, Q = F.

An *inference rule* is sound if it never leads to a false conclusion. An inference rule  $\overline{Q}$  is sound if and only if the formula  $P \implies Q$  is valid. So, this way, we can find out whether an inference rule is sound or not, by checking out whether the corresponding formula is valid or not.

# **Negating Quantifiers**

What is the negation of  $\forall x \in S.P(x)$ ?

What is the negation of  $\exists x \in S.P(x)$ ?

## Satisfiability

**Definition.** A formula is in *SAT* if it is in CNF form and it is satisfiable. **Definition.** A formula is in *3SAT* if it is in 3CNF form and it is satisfiable.

 $(x_1 \lor x_2 \lor x_3) \land (x_1 \lor \overline{x_2} \lor x_3) \land (\overline{x_1} \lor x_2 \lor \overline{x_3})$ 

#### cs2102: Class 6: Quantifiers and More

 $(x_{48} \lor x_4 \lor \overline{x_9}) \land (\overline{x_{44}} \lor x_{50} \lor \overline{x_{27}}) \land (\overline{x_8} \lor \overline{x_1} \lor x_{28}) \land (x_{21} \lor x_{27} \lor \overline{x_{23}}) \land (x_{17} \lor x_{29} \lor \overline{x_{30}}) \land (x_{30} \lor x_{24} \lor x_{37}) \land (\overline{x_{22}} \lor \overline{x_{27}} \lor \overline{x_{44}}) \land (x_8 \lor \overline{x_{25}} \lor \overline{x_{24}}) \land (\overline{x_{44}} \lor x_{50} \lor x_{14}) \land (x_{44} \lor x_{50} \lor x_{14}) \land (x_{44} \lor x_{50} \lor x_{14}) \land (x_{45} \lor x_{27} \lor \overline{x_{24}}) \land (x_{31} \lor x_{38} \lor x_{28}) \land (x_{31} \lor \overline{x_{33}} \lor \overline{x_8}) \land (x_{49} \lor x_7 \lor \overline{x_6}) \land (x_{34} \lor \overline{x_8} \lor x_{46}) \land (x_{44} \lor \overline{x_5} \lor \overline{x_{35}}) \land (x_{43} \lor x_{27} \lor x_{29}) \land (\overline{x_{20}} \lor x_{15} \lor \overline{x_8}) \land (\overline{x_{44}} \lor x_{15}) \land (x_{49} \lor x_{15}) \land (x_{10} \lor x_{14} \lor x_{19}) \land (x_{15} \lor x_{24} \lor x_{39}) \land (x_{34} \lor x_{22} \lor x_{28}) \land (x_{20} \lor x_{15} \lor \overline{x_8}) \land (\overline{x_{44}} \lor x_{15}) \land (x_{49} \lor x_{14} \lor x_{19}) \land (x_{45} \lor x_{42} \lor x_{39}) \land (x_{44} \lor x_{25} \lor x_{7}) \land (\overline{x_{20}} \lor x_{15} \lor \overline{x_8}) \land (\overline{x_{44}} \lor x_{15}) \land (x_{49} \lor x_{15} \lor x_{15}) \land (x_{10} \lor x_{14} \lor x_{19} \lor x_{23}) \land (\overline{x_{4}} \lor x_{25} \lor x_{7}) \land (\overline{x_{20}} \lor x_{15} \lor \overline{x_8}) \land (\overline{x_{44}} \lor x_{15}) \land (x_{49} \lor x_{11} \lor x_{19} \lor \overline{x_{20}} \lor \overline{x_{15}} \lor \overline{x_{15}} \land (\overline{x_{15}} \lor x_{15} \lor x_{15} \lor \overline{x_{15}} \lor (\overline{x_{15}} \lor x_{15} \lor \overline{x_{15}} \lor \overline{x$ 

### **Converting Truth Tables to DNF**

P	Q	$P \implies Q$	$P\oplus Q$
Т	Т	Т	F
Т	F	F	Т
F	Т	Т	Т
F	F	Т	F

The output of the operator is **T** if and only if the inputs do match *one row* where the output is **T**. So, to get a DNF we can go over all the rows where hte output is **T**, and for each write a clause that means we *are* in that row. Then we OR all such (conjunctive) clauses. For example, for  $P \oplus Q$  we get

$$(P \land \neg Q) \lor (\neg P \land Q)$$

#### **Converting Truth Tables to CNF**

P	Q	$P \implies Q$	$P\oplus Q$
Т	Т	Т	F
Т	F	F	Т
F	Т	Т	Т
F	F	Т	F

The output of the operator is **T** if and only if the inputs do not match *any row* where the output is **F**. So, to get a CNF we can go over all the rows where hte output is **F**, and for each write a clause that means we are *not* in that row. Then we AND all such clauses. For example, for  $P \oplus Q$  we get

$$(\neg P \lor \neg Q) \land (P \lor Q)$$

### The related 3CNF formulation

When we are only interested to know whether or not a given formula is satisfiable, we can write a 3CNF that is satisfiable iff the original formula is. In order to do that, we first write an equivalent CNF, and then convert it to a 3CNF (which is not necessarily equivalent, but only guarantees to preserve the *satisfiability* feature) as follow. For each clause with less than 3 literals such as  $(A \lor \neg B)$  we add a dummy variable *C* (only for this clause) and interprete the  $(A \lor \neg B)$  as a formula over all of *A*, *B*, *C* and write a CNF for them (which happens to be 3CNF!). For longer clauses such as  $(A \lor B \lor C \lor D)$  we do another trick of breaking them into smaller parts using new dummy variables as follows  $(A \lor B \lor \neg X) \land (\neg X \lor C \lor D)$ .